

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

Г

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO.

08/939,064

09/29/97

KAMACHI

T

SONY-P7815

LM02/0830

PHILIP M SHAW LIMBACH AND LIMBACH 2001 FERRY BUILDING SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-4262 **EXAMINER**

NGUYEN, T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2773 DATE MAILED:

08/30/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

-	

Office	Action	Summary	,
--------	--------	---------	---

Application No. 08/939,064 Applicant(s)

Examiner

KAMACHI Group Art Unit

Thomas Nguyen 2773

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to exp is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to resapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	spond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev The drawing(s) filed on	by the Examiner. is approved disapproved. r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). priority documents have been national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Serial Number: 08/939,064

Art Unit: 2773

FIRST ACTION

I. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 1-2.5-7,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elliott 1. et al. US Patent 5,621,904 in view of Southgate U.S. Patent 5,487,143; and further in view of Santos-Gomez US 5,771,042.

As per claim 1,5-6: Elliott discloses a system and method of an image display a main window for displaying main information and a sub window for displaying accompanying information associated with main information, and automatically arrangement changing the display position move to main window within a preset predetermined value, and arranging of sub window to a position adjacent to main window (col.2, line 40 to col.3, line 30; FIG.2), although Elliott's description of related art discloses user able manually move the sub-window to user specified position (col.1) but Elliott's sytem is automatically arrangement in accordance with preset value (abstract) which does not require user-specified position. However, Southgate discloses a user interface control allows the user to move from one area to area (abstract, claim 2). In regarding to conditional "if" sub window is moved to user specified position Santos discloses a snap region (Fig.4-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art at the time of invention to modify Elliott's system using Southgate's user interface control for moving a display position of sub window upon user-specified position, and Santos's"snap" feature because this give user composition of screen layout and furthermore displaying windows this way are utilizing display spaces effective and efficiently for user viewing without obscured information as suggested by Elliott/Southgate's (col.1-2).

Serial Number: 08/939,064

Art Unit: 2773

As per claim 2,7,10: Recite from claim 1, Elliott discloses a system for display a sub window adjacent to main window within a preset predetermined value, but Elliott's system discloses automatic arrangement the horizontal line in reserve order which is lower side instead upper side alignment of both windows (lower side of subwindow with lower side of mainwindow see FIG.2). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art at the time of invention for change sub window coordinate to alignment the upper sides instead lower side of main window and sub window, because organizing the display window this way in some cases may maximize the display area.

2. Claim 3-4,8-9,11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elliott et al. US Patent 5,621,904 in view of Southgate U.S. Patent 5,487,143; and in view of Santos-Gomez US 5,771,042. and further in view of Liles et al. US Patent 5,880,731.

As per claim 3,8,11: Recite from claim 1, but Elliott's image display system does not discloses the main window displays a 3D Virtual Reality and sub windows display a chat perform via an avatar. However, Liles teaches present invention system relates to a virtual space which allows avatar freely move to desired position in a shared in 3D virtual space (abstract, col.3-4, Fig.13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art at the time of invention to combine Elliott's position windows display and Liles's disclosures for obtaining an image display which main window in 3D virtual reality space and sub window of a movable chat avatar, because this would enhance system performance and usability.

As per claim 4,9,12: Recite from claim 3, Liles's system describes in virtual world 3D graphic data network communication with the server (col.5-6) but does not disclose any particular

Serial Number: 08/939,064

Art Unit: 2773

language. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art at the time of invention to combine to select a well known VRML language for implement VR 3D graphics because this would enhance system performance.

II. Conclusion

Responses to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231. If applicant desires to fax a response, (703) 308-6606 may be used for formal communications or (703) 305-9731 for informal or draft communications. Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., 6th Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Thomas Nguyen, whose telephone number is (703) 308-7240. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 10:00 - 7:00 ET.

Other inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Thomas T. Nguyen

August 28, 2000

RAYMOND J. PAYERL PRIMARY EXAMIN'TR ART UNIT 2773